Deficits in Short-Latency Tracking Eye Movements after Chemical Lesions in Monkey Cortical Areas MT and MST
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Past work has suggested that the medial superior temporal area (MST) is involved in the initiation of three kinds of eye movements at short latency by large-field visual stimuli. These eye movements consist of (1) version elicited by linear motion (the ocular following response), (2) version elicited by binocular parallax (the disparity version response), and (3) version elicited by global motion toward or away from the fovea (the radial-flow version response). We investigated this hypothesis by recording the effects of ibotenic acid injections in the superior temporal sulcus (STS) of both hemispheres in five monkeys. After the injections, all three kinds of eye movements were significantly impaired, with the magnitude of the impairments often showing a strong correlation with the extent of the morphological damage in the three subregions of the STS: dorsal MST on the anterior bank, lateral MST and middle temporal area on the posterior bank. However, the extent of the lesions in the three subregions often covaried, rendering it difficult to assess their relative contributions to the various deficits. The effects of the lesions on other aspects of oculomotor behavior that are known to be important for the normal functioning of the three tracking mechanisms (e.g., ocular stability, fixation disparity) were judged to be generally minor and to contribute little to the impairments. We conclude that, insofar as MST sustained significant damage in all injected hemispheres, our findings are consistent with the hypothesis that MST is a primary site for initiating all three visual tracking eye movements at ultra-short latencies.
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Introduction

Recent studies in primates have revealed three visually driven ocular tracking mechanisms that are activated in a machine-like manner at ultra-short latencies and are thought to help to stabilize the gaze of the moving observer in three dimensions (Miles, 1998). In this scheme, two of these mechanisms generate the ocular activity that is likely to be the neural basis for the three eye movements: the disparity version response (DVR), which is driven by changes in binocular parallax (Busettini et al., 1996; Masson et al., 1997), and the radial-flow version response (RFVR), which is driven by the radial patterns of optic flow (Busettini et al., 1997; Inoue et al., 1998b). The third mechanism, the ocular following response (OFR), helps to stabilize gaze on objects that move within the plane of fixation and generates version eye movements in response to the planar motion (Kawano and Miles, 1986; Miles and Kawano, 1986; Miles et al., 1986).

This study is concerned with the neural mediation of these responses and focuses on the role of the medial superior temporal area (MST) within the superior temporal sulcus (STS) of the monkey’s cortex. This area is known to contain many neurons that respond vigorously to visual motion with direction selectivity (Van Essen et al., 1981; Desimone and Ungerleider, 1986) and others that are sensitive to binocular disparity or to the patterns of optic flow experienced by the moving observer (Maunsell and Van Essen, 1983a; Tanaka et al., 1986; Tanaka and Saito, 1989; Roy and Wurtz, 1990; Duffy and Wurtz, 1991; Roy et al., 1992; Eifuku and Wurtz, 1999). We have recorded neurons in MST that discharge in relation to the large-field visual stimuli used to elicit the OFR (Kawano et al., 1994; Takemura et al., 2000), the DVR (Takemura et al., 2001, 2002b) and the RFVR (our unpublished observations). Most of the changes in neural activity preceded the associated eye movements, and some occurred early enough for them to have a causal role in producing even the earliest ocular responses. These electrophysiological experiments suggested that, despite their ultra-short latency, all three visual tracking eye movements could be mediated, at least in part, by MST neurons.
The present study was undertaken to test this hypothesis by documenting the effects of bilateral chemical lesions in the STS, which included varying amounts of dorsal MST (MSTd), lateral MST (MSTl), and middle temporal area (MT), on the three short-latency visual tracking eye movements in five monkeys. We report that ibotenic acid injections into the STS could abolish the initial OFR and the initial RFVR and significantly attenuate the initial DVR. These findings are consistent with our hypothesis that the MST has a major role in the production of all three of these oculomotor tracking responses.

Preliminary results have been reported previously (Takemura et al., 2002a).

Materials and Methods

Animal preparation. Data were collected from five adolescent Japanese monkeys (Macaca fuscata), weighing 5–9 kg. Many of the methods and procedures were very similar to those used in our previous studies (Kawano et al., 1994; Inoue et al., 1998b; Takemura et al., 2001) and will be described only briefly here. All animals had been trained previously to fixate a small target spot on a tangent screen for a liquid reward (Wurtz, 1969). Under aseptic conditions and sodium pentobarbital anesthesia, a cylinder for chronic recording of single neuron activity was implanted vertically over a trephine hole positioned above the posterior parietal cortex. This cylinder was subsequently used for the ibotenic acid injections. A bracket attached to the skull allowed the head to be secured in the standard stereotaxic position during the experiments. Eye movements were recorded using scleral search coils implanted around both eyes (Fuchs and Robinson, 1966; Judge et al., 1980). One animal (monkey P) had been used previously for single-unit recordings in the left nucleus of the optic tract (N) and in the left dorsolateral pontine nucleus (DLPN), but its oculomotor behavior was within the normal limits after those recordings. All experimental protocols were approved by the National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology Animal Care and Use Committee.

Behavioral paradigms and visual stimuli. The presentation of stimuli and the collection, storage, and display of data were controlled by two personal computers (NEC PC98). The behavioral paradigms and visual stimuli used in this study were identical to those of Shidara and Kawanou (1993) for the OFR, Takemura et al. (2001) for the DVR, and Inoue et al. (1998b) for the RFVR. In brief, during the recording sessions, the monkey sat in a primate chair with its head secured in place and facing a translucent tangent screen (114 × 114 cm) at a distance of 500 mm onto which random-dot patterns (subtense, 90° × 90°) could be backprojected. Mirror galvanometers in the projection path in an X/Y configuration allowed the patterns to be moved under computer control. The density of dots was 50%, and the smallest dots in the patterns subtended 1.5° of arc. Five paradigms were used, which in brief were as follows.

In the OFR paradigm, the random-dot pattern filled the screen and 50 ms after the end of a 10° leftward centering saccade started to move at constant velocity (range, 10–160°/s) in one of the four cardinal directions; the motion lasted 150 ms, and the screen was blanked. In the DVR paradigm, two projectors were used with crossed polarizers to achieve dichoptic viewing such that the two eyes saw identical random-dot patterns that filled the screen and initially overlapped exactly (zero binocular disparity); horizontal disparity steps (crossed and uncrossed, ranging in amplitude from 0.3 to 6°) were applied 50 ms after 10° leftward centering saccades, by displacing the two images equally in opposite directions for 230 ms. In the RFVR paradigm, the visual stimulus was a two-frame movie, and the initial random-dot pattern was replaced by a second one (simulating the same image viewed from a slightly different distance) 50 ms after a leftward centering saccade. The focus of expansion/contraction was located at the center of the screen and was therefore imaged in, or close to, the fovea; this looming step involved a 5% change in the eccentricity of the dots with respect to the screen center without any change in their size (the “pure radial-flux flow” [Busettini et al. (1997)]). Note that previous studies indicated that the RFVR is mostly a transient response to stimulus onset and multiple steps (at up to 100 Hz, which approximates smooth motion) elicit essentially the same result (i.e., adding additional apparent-motion steps adds little to the response) (Miles et al., 2004). In all three paradigms, if no saccades were detected during the stimulus presentation period, then the data were stored on a hard disk, and the animal was given a drop of fruit juice; otherwise, the trial was aborted and fluid was withheld. At this point, the random-dot patterns were blanked for 0.5 s by a mechanical shutter and then reappeared once more for the start of the next trial. Note that all experiments included saccade-only controls in which the initial patterns remained present and unchanging throughout the trial. The luminance of the patterns ranged from 2.7 cd/m² (white dots) to 0.3 cd/m² (black background area) for the RFVR paradigm (average, 1.5 cd/m²) and from 1.0 to 0.05 cd/m² for the DVR paradigm (average, 0.5 cd/m²). The stimulus patterns used for the DVR paradigm were somewhat darker than those used for RFVR paradigm because of the polarizing filters. The brighter stimulus pattern was also used for the OFR paradigm, except with monkeys N and R for which the polarizers remained in place (but the dichoptic images always exactly overlapped).

In two monkeys, we also examined the effects of the MST injections on smooth-pursuit and optokinetic eye movements to permit comparison with previous lesion studies (Dürsteler et al., 1987; Dursteler and Wurtz, 1988). In the smooth-pursuit paradigm, the target was a projected light-emitting diode spot, the horizontal position of which was controlled by a mirror galvanometer in the projector light path. At the start of each trial, a stimulus was presented at the center of the screen. The monkey was required to fixate it for 700–900 ms. On “pursuit” trials, the target moved to the right or left at one of three selected speeds (10, 20, 30°/s), and after a grace period of 100 ms to allow the monkey to catch up with the target, the monkey was required to track it with smooth eye movements for the remaining time that it was visible (600 ms). On “fixation” trials, the target remained stationary, and the monkey had to fixate it. The trial type (pursuit or fixation) as well as the direction and speed of target motion on the pursuit trials were randomized from trial to trial. In the optokinetic paradigm, two random-dot patterns moving to the right or left at one of three selected speeds (20, 40, 60°/s) were backprojected on the screen one after the other to achieve continuous smooth motion. To replicate the traditional optokinetic stimulation used in previous lesion studies, the screen was initially dark, and then the moving pattern suddenly came into view (by operating a shutter). This optokinetic stimulus remained on for 15 s, after which time the screen was blanked and recording continued for an additional 15 s [optokinetic afternystagmus (OKAN)]. The monkey was rewarded with drops of fluid for staying alert [apparent from the brisk quick phases].

Recording procedures. Preliminary microelectrode recordings, involving 10–64 penetrations per hemisphere, allowed us to determine the likely location of the MST within the STS of both hemispheres in all five monkeys. In 7 of 10 hemispheres, the penetrations were made with glass-coated tungsten microelectrodes that entered the parietal cortex, advanced through the anterior bank of the STS, and ended in the posterior bank or floor of the STS. After reconstructing these penetrations and using the selectivity for speed and direction of motion as well as the size of the receptive fields to identify putative MT and MST neurons in both hemispheres, we implanted four or five guide tubes, the tips of which were positioned 3–5 mm above the regions thought to encompass the MST in both hemispheres. These guide tubes were to be used later for injecting ibotenic acid, but we first used them to introduce tungsten microelectrodes to confirm that they were located above a region containing abundant directionally selective neuronal activity characteristic of MT and MST. In 3 of 10 hemispheres, the neuronal recordings were made only through the guide tubes, and accurate reconstruction of these electrode tracks was not possible.

The preinjection eye-movement data were obtained over a period of several days after the guide tubes had been positioned in both hemispheres. Thus, any effects attributable to the previous microelectrode penetrations and the implantation of the guide tubes would be manifest in the eye-movement data obtained before any injections were made. After completing the collection of the preinjection data, these guide tubes were used to make multiple pressure injections of ibotenic acid (15 mg/ml in a basic saline solution) over a 2 d period in monkeys Q, P, and S; a 3 d period in monkey N; and a 7 d period in monkey R. We used a...
Hamilton microsyringe (Crist Instruments, Hagerstown, MD) with a 0.7 mm outer-diameter injection tube, to inject at a rate of 0.2 μl/min, which subsequent histology indicated was sufficiently slow to prevent backflow of ibotenic acid around the microsyringe. To avoid leakage of ibotenic acid during withdrawal, the microsyringe was not raised for at least 15 min after completion of the injection(s) through a given guide tube. The number of injection sites and total amount of ibotenic acid injected are summarized in Table 1. For each monkey, the postinjection eye-movement data were obtained over a period of 2–3 d commencing 1 d after completing the bilateral injections.

**Table 1. Number and volume of the ibotenic acid injections**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of guide tubes</th>
<th>Anterior bank</th>
<th>Posterior bank</th>
<th>Anterior bank</th>
<th>Posterior bank</th>
<th>Total volume (μl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monkey Q</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 (8.0)</td>
<td>4 (8.0)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkey N</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6 (12.3)</td>
<td>5 (10.1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkey P</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5 (9.4)</td>
<td>4 (8.0)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkey R</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6 (24.0)</td>
<td>5 (22.7)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkey S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5 (10.0)</td>
<td>4 (8.1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The densely myelinated zones (DMZs) in the posterior bank (MT) and in the anterior bank (DMZ) were identified and transferred onto the unfolded maps (Newsome et al., 1988; Ungerleider and Desimone, 1986). Because the former zone corresponds to the MT area, the latter zone will be referred to as the DMZ (densely myelinated zone) from here on. In locating MST, we followed the precedent of Komatsu and Wurtz (1988), who defined it as that region of the STS outside MT that contains a preponderance of directionally selective neurons. These workers showed that neurons in MST could be distinguished from neurons in MT by their larger field size for a given retinal eccentricity and that the rostrocaudal extent of MST was definable morphologically, encompassing the DMZ anteriorly and extending up to the boundary of MT posteriorly, but the mediolateral boundaries had no exact morphological correlates. In estimating the extent of the involvement of MST in our lesions, we have assumed that the MST encompassed the entire region of the STS extending between the two myelinated zones, with MST1 occupying the posterior bank and MST11 occupying the anterior bank (Komatsu and Wurtz, 1988). The areas affected by the ibotenic acid injections were identified using the morphological criteria of Newsome et al. (1985). Surface area measurements of the lesions and the various subdivisions of the STS were made from the maps with the use of a scanner and a program designed for measuring area.

**Results**

**Reconstruction of the STS lesions**

Maps of the STS region of the cortex, reconstructed from sagittal sections, are shown in Figure 1 for all 10 hemispheres that were injected in five monkeys. To facilitate easy comparisons of the lesions on the two sides of the brain, maps from the left hemisphere are left–right reversed. The densely myelinated zones in the posterior bank (MT) and in the anterior bank (DMZ) were identified together with the locations of 23 neurons recorded in 8 penetrations that were deemed to be MT neurons (Fig. 1, filled red circles) and an additional 127 neurons that were recorded in 21 penetrations and deemed to be MST neurons (Fig. 1, filled green circles). These recordings were made with rigid glass-coated microelectrodes advanced through the depth of the cortex, permitting reconstruction of the entire penetration from the surface of the cortex to the floor of the STS. (All neuronal recordings in both hemispheres of monkey R and in the left hemisphere of monkey Q were done with flexible microelectrodes introduced through the guide tubes subsequently used for the ibotenic acid injections. An accurate reconstruction of these electrode tracks was not attempted.) Although these electrophysiological recordings provided good confirmation of the locations of some parts of MST, and proved useful for positioning the guide tubes over the putative MST region, it is evident from Figure 1 that they were generally not sufficient to define the exact mediolateral extent of the MST. In specifying its mediolateral boundaries in Figure 1, we assumed that MST encompassed the entire region of the STS between the MT and the DMZ with a slight medial extension (Fig. 1, dashed lines). We will use the floor of the sulcus of the STS to subdivide the MST into an anterior region that approximates MSTd and a posterior region that approximates MSTl. Table 1 summarizes the number of guide tubes and injection sites together with the volume of ibotenic acid injected in each monkey. The initial injections in monkey R were ineffective (probably because the ibotenic acid was not fully dissolved), and a second series was required, hence the unusually large volume in that monkey. The regions affected by the ibotenic acid injections were
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identified using the morphological criteria of Newsome et al. (1985) and were subdivided into areas in which all six layers were affected (designated as “complete” lesions) (Fig. 1, black) and into areas in which only some layers showed morphological changes (designated as “partial” lesions) (Fig. 1, gray). The background silver stain in the lesioned areas sometimes obscured the dense myelination, leading us to underestimate the full extent of MT and the DMZ in those cases. This was especially true on the left side in monkey Q, where we were unable to discern the DMZ (and so assumed that it had been completely lesioned); in this case, the boundary of MST was drawn to approximate that on the opposite side.

Table 2 has three sections that each summarizes our quantitative estimates of the extent of the partial and/or complete lesions in one of the three subregions of the STS (MSTd, MSTl, MT) in both hemispheres for each of the five monkeys. The entries in each section indicate the area in square millimeter of the morphological changes within the given subregion; in addition, these values are each expressed as a percentage of the area of their respective subregion (entries in parentheses), and we will refer to these as the “percentage of extent” of the lesions. Note that the “total” area of the lesions refers to their overall extent and is given by the sum, “partial plus complete.” The extent of the lesions varied considerably among the five monkeys, and the order in which their data are shown in Figure 1 and listed in Table 2 (Q, N, P, R, S) generally accords with their percentage total lesions, which were largest in monkey Q and smallest in monkey S. In monkey Q, these total lesions included much of MST and MT on both sides. However, in monkeys N and P, significant portions of MST on both sides (especially laterally) as well as the lateral (foveal) regions of MT on the left side were completely spared, and in monkeys R and S, even more of MST (especially the floor of the sulcus and the posterior bank in monkey S bilaterally) and almost all of MT on the left side were intact. Bilateral asymmetries, based on the differences in the percentage of total lesions in the two hemispheres of a given monkey, were generally minor for MST, averaging only 9% for MSTd (range, 0–15%) and 15% for MSTl (range, 1–35%), but could be appreciable for MT, averaging 41% (range, 0–90%). The proportions of the three subdivisions of the STS that sustained damage to all layers (complete lesion) ranged from 0 to 100% and were ≤50% in two-thirds (20 of 30) of the cases listed in Table 2. It is perhaps not surprising that the percentage extents of the lesions in a given subdivision of a given monkey tended to be positively correlated and the \( r^2 \) values for the complete lesion data were very high: 0.97 for MSTl versus MSTd, 0.93 for MSTl versus MT, 0.85 for MSTl versus MT, and 0.95 for MSTd versus MT. The equivalent \( r^2 \) values for the total lesion data were much lower: 0.71, 0.49, 0.28, and 0.77. Note that there was clear gliosis along the path of the guide tubes in the overlying area 7a but there was no evidence of any additional damage such as might be expected from ibotenic acid because of backflow around the microsyringe or leakage during withdrawal of the microsyringe.

**OFRs**

The initial OFRs recorded before the injections of ibotenic acid were like those described by Miles et al. (1986) in all essentials, with onset latencies of 55–60 ms and mean eye velocity temporal profiles that showed an initial brisk increase, followed by a slight hesitation and perhaps a second transient increase, before giving way to a more gradual and sustained buildup (Fig. 2, thick traces). After the injections, the mean eye velocity temporal profiles showed varying degrees of attenuation (Fig. 2, thin traces). Onset

---

**Figure 1.** Two-dimensional maps of the STS in 10 hemispheres of five monkeys reconstructed from parasagittal sections using the method of Van Essen and Maunsell (1980). The maps of the left hemisphere are left-right reversed to facilitate easy comparison with the maps of the right hemisphere. The inset shows a view of the right hemisphere with the general area of the STS indicated. Contour lines depict the unfolded layer IV. Solid boundary lines delineate the densely myelinated zones in the anterior bank (DMZ) and the posterior bank (MT) of the STS. Dashed lines depict the approximate medial and lateral boundaries of the MST, which is subdivided into MSTd anteriorly and MSTl posteriorly by the dotted lines depicting the floor of the STS. Black shading indicates areas with morphological damage extending through all layers of the cortex (complete lesion), and gray shading indicates areas with damage to some but not all cortical layers (partial lesions). Circles indicate the approximate recording sites of neurons that responded to visual motion and showed directional selectivity (red, MT neurons; green, MST neurons).
latencies before and after the injections were not significantly different in the three monkeys (N, R, S), whose postinjection responses were large enough to permit measurements of latency (Student’s t test, P > 0.05).

The quantitative effects of the injections on the initial (open-loop) OFRs, based on the change in eye position over the time period 50–100 ms (measured from stimulus onset), are summarized in Figure 3. For each of the five monkeys and the four cardinal directions of stimulus motion, Figure 3 shows histograms indicating the mean percentage reductions in the OFR measures (averaged across the five different speeds). These measures indicated that all monkeys exhibited significant impairments in their initial OFRs after the injections (Student’s t test, P < 0.05), the mean percentage reductions for a given direction of motion ranging from 37 to 97%. There were some directional asymmetries in the deficits, and we quantified them by computing the right–left, up–down, horizontal–vertical differences; these data are also shown in Figure 3. The largest consistent directional asymmetries involved relative sparing of the rightward responses in monkeys N, S, and P, and the deficits to leftward motion exceeded those to rightward motion, on average, by 28, 18, and 11%, respectively. The vertical deficits were larger than the horizontal in monkeys Q, N, P, and S, on average, by 6–21%; the fifth monkey, R, showed the reverse asymmetry, with the horizontal deficits exceeding the vertical, on average, by 30%.

The graph in Figure 4 plots the percentage of reductions in the OFR measures (averaged across all four cardinal directions) against speed for each of the five monkeys and indicates a tendency for the deficits to be somewhat greater for the data obtained with stimuli moving at the higher speeds.

Scrutiny of Table 2 and Figure 3 suggested that there was a general tendency for the animals with the larger lesions to show larger deficits in their OFRs. We examine this further in Figure 5, in which the mean percentage reductions in the OFR measures (averaged across all stimulus speeds and directions) for each of the five monkeys are plotted against the percentage of extents of their lesions in each one of five subdivisions within the STS: MSTd, MSTI, MSTd plus MSTI, MT, and MSTd plus MSTI plus MT. Each monkey has two data entries in each of the graphs: the gray symbols showing the data when the extents of the lesions were based on the percentage of each subdivision that had been damaged partially or completely (i.e., the total lesion listed in Table 2) and the black symbols showing the data when the extents of the lesions were based only on the percentage of each subdivision in which the damage was complete. These plots indicate that there was a general tendency for the average OFR deficit to covary with both estimates of the percentage of extent of the morphological damage in the various subdivisions of the STS. However, the magnitude of the deficit in monkey P, which had previously been used for recordings in the NOT and the DLPN, was markedly greater than expected from the size of its cortical lesions and the data from the other four monkeys (Fig. 5, open inverted triangles). When the data from monkey P were excluded, linear regressions of these average OFR deficits on the extent of the lesions in each of the various subgroupings within the STS always showed significant positive slopes, with r² values ranging from 0.51 to 0.78 when based on the total extent of the lesions (Fig. 5, gray data and lines) and from 0.57 to 0.91 when based only on the extent of the complete lesions (Fig. 5, black data and lines). [Note that both parameters in these regressions, the magnitude of the OFR deficits (Y) and the extent of the lesions (X), were dependent variables, and to take into account the variance of X as well as Y, the slopes given in Fig. 5 are the geometric means of the slope of Y on X and 1/slope of X on Y.] The positive correlation between the size of the lesion and the magnitude of the deficit was greatest for the complete lesions in MSTI.

DVRs

Before the ibotenic acid injections, horizontal disparity steps applied to large-field, correlated, random-dot patterns elicited initial DVRs that were, in all essentials, like those described by previous authors (Bussetti et al., 1996; 2001; Masson et al., 1997; Takemura et al., 2001) with onset latencies of 55–60 ms and mean...
vergence velocity profiles that showed an initial transient followed by a smaller sustained response (Fig. 6, thick traces), which show the convergent responses to 1° crossed-disparity steps (A) and the divergent responses to 1° uncrossed-disparity steps (B) for monkey N. In addition, disparity tuning curves, describing the dependence of the change-invergence-position measures (for the time period 50–100 ms from stimulus onset) on the amplitude of the disparity steps, approximated the derivative of a Gaussian, showing a central servo range over which crossed disparities resulted in convergent responses and uncrossed disparities resulted in divergent responses (Fig. 7, filled symbols). This servo range was quite narrow, and responses peaked with disparities of <2° before declining back to a non-zero asymptote as disparities exceeded ~4°, the so-called “default” response to uncorrelation (Busettini et al., 1996, 2001). The disparity tuning curves were fitted with the following equation from Busettini et al. (2001):

\[
A \left[ 1 - e^{-|d|/B} \right] + \
G \exp \left[ -\frac{(d-D)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \cos \left[ 2\pi f(d-D) + \phi \right]. \tag{1}
\]

**Figure 2.** Deficits in OFRs after ibotenic acid injections: sample temporal profiles (data for each of the five monkeys). Mean eye velocity (in degrees per second) over time (in milliseconds) in response to multiple presentations of 80°/s downward ramps before (thick traces) and after (thin traces) the injections is shown. Upward deflections denote downward eye movements. The bottom left panel also includes the stimulus velocity profile.

The first term in Equation 1 accounts for the non-zero asymptote and is an exponential function with an asymptotic level, \(A\), and a space constant, \(B\). The value of \(B\) was fixed at 0.46, based on the space constants found by Busettini et al. (2001) using the orthogonal responses to vertical disparity steps. The second term in Equation 1 is a Gabor function, in which \(\sigma\) is the Gaussian width, \(f\) and \(\phi\) are the spatial frequency and phase of the cosine term, and \(G\) is a gain factor. Because the data were usually not symmetrical about zero, we incorporated a parameter, \(D\), to allow the peak of the Gaussian to shift (cf. Busettini et al., 2001). It is clear from Figure 7 that Equation 1 provided a good fit to our preinjection

**Figure 3.** Deficits in OFRs after ibotenic acid injections (histograms for each of the five monkeys). R, L, U, and D, The percentage of reductions in the OFRs (based on the changes in eye position over the time period 50–100 ms) to rightward, leftward, upward, and downward motion, respectively, averaged for five speeds ranging from 10 to 160°/s; R-L, U-D, and H-V, differences between the mean percentage reductions to rightward and leftward and downward, horizontal (R plus L), and vertical (U plus D), respectively.
data (closed symbols, continuous lines), and the best-fit parameters for these curves are listed in Table 3 (Pre), together with an estimate of the goodness of fit ($r^2$), the values of which ranged from 0.96 to 0.99.

After bilateral injections of ibotenic acid in the STS, there was a significant attenuation of the DVRs to many crossed- and uncrossed-disparity steps (Student’s t-test, $p < 0.05$) (Figs. 6, thin traces, 7, open symbols with an asterisk). The disparity tuning curves had the same general form after the injections as before and were again well fitted by Equation 1 (Fig. 7, dashed lines), with $r^2$ values ranging from 0.92 to 0.96; the best-fit parameters are listed in Table 3 (Post). The impact of the injections is perhaps best appreciated from the changes in the peak-to-peak amplitudes ($A_{p,p}$) of the best-fit curves in Figure 7, which are also listed in Table 3. The percentage of reductions in $A_{p,p}$ after the injections, which are given at the bottom right of each graph in Figure 7, averaged 58.6% (range, 36–79%) and were often correlated with the extent of the morphological damage in the various subdivisions of the STS. This can be seen in Figure 8, in which the percentage of reductions in $A_{p,p}$ are plotted against the percentage of extents of the lesions in the five subregions within the STS and which is organized exactly like Figure 5. Once again, monkey P (Fig. 8, open symbols) was an outlier with a greater deficit than expected from the size of its lesions, and when its data were excluded, linear regressions of the reductions in $A_{p,p}$ on the extent of the lesions in each of the various subdivisions of the STS always showed significant positive slopes, with $r^2$ values ranging from 0.63 to 0.84 when based on the total extent of the lesions (Fig. 8, gray symbols and lines) and from 0.69 to 0.94 when based only on the extent of the complete lesions (Fig. 8, black symbols and lines). The correlation between the size of the lesion and the magnitude of the deficit was greatest for the complete lesions in MSTl (compare the OFR). It is also very interesting that there was a highly significant correlation between the magnitudes of the OFR and DVR deficits documented in Figures 5 and 8 (correlation coefficient of 0.998 when data from monkey P were excluded).

**RFVRs**

Radial-flow steps applied to large-field random-dot patterns generally elicited vergence eye movements at short latency that were, in all essentials, like the RFVRs described by previous authors (Bussetini et al., 1997; Inoue et al., 1998b; Yang et al., 1999): transient convergent responses to expanding (centrifugal) steps and transient divergent responses to contracting (centripetal) steps with onset latencies of 60–70 ms. Sample temporal response profiles (means) obtained from monkey P with 5% steps can be seen in Figure 9 (thick traces); the data obtained with expansions are shown in A, and the data obtained with contractions are shown in B. However, response amplitudes were small (the peak eye velocity was often <2°/s) and in two cases, monkey R with centrifugal steps and monkey S with centripetal steps, failed to reach our response criterion (twice the SD of the baseline noise). The ibotenic acid injections in the STS always resulted in significant attenuation of the RFVRs, and this was so severe in the cases illustrated in Figure 9 that it is difficult to distinguish the postinjection responses (thin traces) from the baseline noise. The presession and postsession RFVRs were assessed quantitatively using the change in vergence position over the time period 50–100 ms from stimulus onset, and these measures are listed in Table 4, together with the percentage of reduction in these measures resulting from the lesions (two rightmost columns). The reductions in the RFVRs after the injections ranged from 51 to 125% (mean reduction, 84%), and all were statistically significant (Student’s t-test, $p < 0.05$). (Reductions exceeding 100% indicate

![Figure 5](https://image.com/figure5.png)

**Figure 5.** Deficits in OFRs after ibotenic acid lesions: dependence on the estimated extent of the lesions in MSTd, MSTl, and MT (data for each of the five monkeys). Ordinates, Percentage of reduction in the OFRs for each monkey, based on the mean changes in eye position (in degrees; over the time period 50–100 ms measured from onset of the stimulus ramps) averaged over all stimulus directions and speeds. Abscissas, Percentage of each designated subregion of the STS showing morphological damage in any layer (gray symbols, complete plus partial lesions) or all layers (black symbols, complete lesions). Lines are best-fit linear regressions (taking into account the variance of both X and Y), the coefficients of which are shown at the bottom right in each panel (the coefficients of determination are in parentheses). Data in open symbols (monkey P) were excluded from the regressions.

![Figure 6](https://image.com/figure6.png)

**Figure 6.** Deficits in DVRs after ibotenic acid injections: sample temporal profiles (monkey Q). Mean vergence velocity (in degrees per second) over time (in milliseconds) in response to multiple presentations of r° crossed (A) and uncrossed (B) disparity steps before (pre; thick traces) and after (post; thin traces) the injections. Disparity steps were applied at zero on the time scale. Upward deflections denote convergent eye movements. The time bars, 50–100 ms, indicate the period over which the response measures were made.
response reversal, but we assume that these reversals probably reflected noise, given that even the preinjection responses were very small. The magnitude of these lesion effects was poorly correlated with the extent of the damage in the various subdivisions of the STS, whether considering all areas affected by the lesion (total lesion) or only the areas with complete lesions, and the $r^2$ values never exceeded 0.18. Unfortunately, the marginal amplitude of the RFVRs even before the injections raises the possibility that noise obscured any relationship between the magnitude of the deficits and the size of the lesions in the various subregions. In addition, noise might have been a major factor in our finding that there was no significant correlation between the RFVR deficits and the deficits in the OFR and DVR documented in Figures 5 and 8 (correlation coefficients of 0.114 and 0.115, respectively, when data from monkey P were excluded).

Optokinetic and smooth-pursuit eye movements

Optokinetic and smooth-pursuit eye movements were recorded in two monkeys (P and S) before and after the ibotenic acid injections. Before injection, optokinetic nystagmus (OKN) was as described by previous authors (Cohen et al., 1977; Lisberger et al., 1981): slow-phase eye velocity showed an initial rapid rise (OKNe) and, if eye speed fell short of stimulus speed at the end of this phase, was followed by a more gradual buildup in eye speed (OKNd); after the stimulus was blanked, slow-phase eye velocity showed an initial rapid drop, followed by a more gradual decline as eye speed slowly fell back toward zero (OKAN). This pattern of responses is evident in the sample data shown in Figure 10, A and B, that were obtained from monkey P before the ibotenic acid injections. There is substantial evidence (for review, see Lisberger et al., 1981) indicating that the optokinetic responses are generated by two neural mechanisms, one with brisk dynamics that is responsible for the rapid changes in slow-phase eye velocity (OKNe and the rapid drop after blanking) and the other with sluggish dynamics that is responsible for the more gradual changes (OKNd and OKAN). A previous study showed that both of these components were reduced to varying degrees by unilateral chemical lesions in MST (Dürsteler and Wurtz, 1988): the slow buildup was impaired only for motion toward the side of the lesion, and the initial rapid rise was impaired for motion toward or away from the side of the lesion. Our observations were consistent with this, as indicated by the sample data shown in Figure 10, C and D, that were obtained from monkey P after bilateral

---

**Figure 7.** Deficits in DVRs after ibotenic acid injections: disparity tuning curves (data for each of the five monkeys). Ordinates, Vvergence responses, based on the mean changes in horizontal vvergence position (in degrees; over the time period 50–100 ms measured from onset of the disparity step) before (closed symbols) and after (open symbols) the injections. Abcissas, Disparity step (in degrees). Curves are the least-squares best fits obtained using Equation 1, and the data given at the bottom right in each panel are the mean percentage reductions in $A_{\text{en}}$ (peak-to-peak amplitude) based on values in Table 3. Error bars indicate ±1 SD. *p < 0.05, postinjection data.

**Figure 8.** Deficits in DVRs after ibotenic acid lesions: dependence on the estimated extent of the lesion in MSTd, MST, and MT (data for each of the five monkeys). Ordinates, Percentage reduction in the DVRs for each monkey, based on the changes in the peak-to-peak amplitudes $(A_{\text{en}})$ of the least-squares best fits obtained with Equation 1 shown in Figure 7 (the parameters are listed in Table 3). Abcissas, Percentage of each designated subregion of the STS showing morphological damage in any layer (gray symbols, complete plus partial lesions) or all layers (black symbols, complete lesions). Lines are best-fit linear regressions (taking into account the variance of both $A$ and $Y$), the coefficients of which are shown at the bottom right in each panel (coefficients of determination are in parentheses). Data in open symbols (monkey P) were excluded from the regressions.
ibotenic acid injections: the brisk responses were severely attenuated to both rightward and leftward motion, whereas the sluggish responses were only impaired with the leftward motion (Fig. 10D), perhaps reflecting our finding that the total extent of the lesion in the left MST was about twice that in the right MST in this animal (Table 2). However, a complication here is that monkey P had been used for previous recordings in the left NOT, which might normally contribute to the slow buildup response to the leftward motion. The initial eye acceleration, based on the change in eye velocity over the first 100 ms of the response, which is a measure of the brisk component, was reduced in monkey P by 74 ± 34% (n = 3) and 99 ± 8% (n = 3) with rightward and leftward motion, respectively; these reductions were 18 ± 17% (n = 3) and 56 ± 21% (n = 3) in monkey S. The average velocity of the last five slow phases of the OKN (the final OKN), which is a measure of the combined effects of the brisk and sluggish components, was reduced after the injections in monkey P by 11 ± 17% (n = 3) and 73 ± 12% (n = 3) with the rightward and leftward motion, respectively, but showed little change in monkey S, with the corresponding changes of −5 ± 10% (n = 3) and 1 ± 6% (n = 3), respectively. The effect of the injections on the average velocity of the first three slow phases of the OKN (the initial OKN), which is a measure of the sluggish component, were more variable; only the responses of monkey P to leftward motion stimuli showed a reduction of 56 ± 14% (n = 3). All remaining measures of initial OKN were increased after the lesion, by 70 ± 34% (n = 3) in monkey P with rightward motion and by 87 ± 35% (n = 3) and 71 ± 18% (n = 3) in monkey S with rightward and leftward motion, respectively. Nonetheless, the rapid drop in eye velocity after blanking [(1 − (initial OKN/ final OKN)) × 100%] was consistently smaller after the injections by 72 ± 12% (n = 3) and 44 ± 41% (n = 3) in monkey P with rightward and leftward motion, respectively, and by 70 ± 21% (n = 3) and 44 ± 12% (n = 3) in monkey S with rightward and leftward motion, respectively.

Previous studies that placed chemical lesions in MST and/or MT of one hemisphere reported two types of deficits in the pursuit eye movements elicited by discrete moving targets: impaired initiation of pursuit to target motion in any direction and impaired maintenance of pursuit when the target motion was toward the side of the lesion (Newsome et al., 1985; Dürsteler et al., 1987; Dürsteler and Wurtz, 1988; Yamasaki and Wurtz, 1991). We too observed significant deficits in the initiation and maintenance of pursuit after bilateral chemical lesions in STS, as can be seen in Figure 11A, which shows sample preinjection (thick traces) and postinjection (thin traces) response profiles obtained from monkey P with 20°/s leftward and rightward target motion. However, the deficits were often quite modest. This is evident from the percentage of reduction in our quantitative measures of the presaccadic and postspaccadic tracking (based on the changes in eye position over the time intervals 100–150 ms and 300–350 ms, respectively, measured from the onset of target motion), which are plotted separately for monkeys P and S in Figure 11B.

Table 3. Dependence of the mean DVRs on the magnitude of the disparity steps before and after the ibotenic acid injections: best-fit parameters when the data were fitted with Equation 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>A_{θ0}</th>
<th>r^2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>269.0</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>270.9</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>269.5</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>264.4</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>271.2</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>258.8</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>270.3</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>269.5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>270.3</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>271.4</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An iterative procedure with a least-squares criterion was used to obtain the best fits with each of the parameters resolved to the number of decimal places shown. A_{θ0} is the peak-to-peak amplitude of Equation 1. r^2 is the coefficient of determination. A, G, α, D, and A_{θ0} are in degrees, and f is in cycles/degree. Disparity tuning curves based on these best-fit parameters are shown in Fig. 7. Pre, Preinjection data; Post, postinjection data.

From monkey P with 20°/s leftward and rightward target motion. However, the deficits were often quite modest. This is evident from the percentage of reduction in our quantitative measures of the presaccadic and postspaccadic tracking (based on the changes in eye position over the time intervals 100–150 ms and 300–350 ms, respectively, measured from the onset of target motion), which are plotted separately for monkeys P and S in Figure 11B.

Other oculomotor parameters

After ibotenic acid injections in the STS of one hemisphere, all monkeys showed a mild nystagmus when placed in the dark, with slow phases directed away from the side of injection. After injections in both hemispheres, a nystagmus was still evident in the dark, but now it was vertical in four monkeys (upward slow phases in monkeys Q, P, and R; downward slow phases in monkey N) and rightward in monkey S. However, in all cases, the nystagmus was primarily suppressed when monkeys viewed the stationary random-dot test patterns. This was evident from the ocular drift speeds averaged over the critical 50 ms time period commencing with the onset of the OFR stimuli (vertical eye movements were recorded only in the OFR paradigm, so only in this paradigm were we able to assess the true ocular drift speed, and then only for the right eye), the mean values of which are plotted for all subjects in Figure 12A. Another important point is that only in one monkey (Q) was the mean ocular drift speed increased significantly after the injections, and then by only a small amount, 0.6°/s. In two monkeys (P, R), the mean drift speed (and its variance) were actually slightly lower after the injections.

After the injections, the centering saccades showed very modest increases in amplitude and peak velocity in four of five monkeys, and these were generally statistically significant (see the average data for each of the five monkeys plotted in Figure 12B,C). The “prelesion minus postlesion” differences in the average amplitudes and peak velocities ranged from −1.4 to 0.3° and from −76 to 21°/s, respectively. A related concern is how well the stimulus patterns were centered on the retina when the stimuli were applied, and this was checked by measuring the angular distance between the fovea and the screen center ("retinal eccentricity") during the 50 ms time period starting with the onset of the stimulus. In the OFR paradigm, estimated retinal eccentricities were actually slightly smaller for three of five monkeys after the injections (mean reduction of 0.9° in those monkeys), and the increases in the other two monkeys amounted to only 0.1 and 0.7° (Fig. 12D). After the injections, four of five monkeys showed small (0.1–0.3°) but statistically significant changes in the mean vergence error during the 50 ms time period starting with the onset of the stimuli (Fig. 12E). However, only in one case (monkey R) did this result in an increase in the mean vergence error.

**Discussion**

**A family of short-latency reflexes mediated by the STS**

Bilateral ibotenic acid injections in the STS of five monkeys caused morphological changes in the three subregions (MSTd, MSTi, and MT) and resulted in significant deficits in the initial OFRs, DVRs, and RFVRs of all animals. We also examined OKN and pursuit eye movements in two of the monkeys and, in line with previous studies that lesioned MT and MST (Newsome et
al., 1985; Dürsteler et al., 1987; Dürsteler and Wurtz, 1988; Yamashita and Wurtz, 1991), observed significant deficits in both types of tracking. The magnitudes of the deficits in the OFR and DVR in a given animal were directly related to the percentage of extent of the morphological damage in the three subregions of the STS (Figs. 5, 8) (excluding the data from monkey P). In fact, the correlation between the size of the lesion and the magnitude of the deficit in the OFR and DVR was highest for MST1 ($r^2 = 0.91$ and 0.94, respectively). However, the percentage of extent of the lesions in the three subregions often covaried, rendering the relative contribution of any given subregion to the various deficits uncertain. Notably, it is not possible to attribute any particular deficit solely to damage in one particular subregion. Furthermore, we are unable to determine whether the cortical regions that sustained only partial damage contributed to the deficits. Yet another potential complication is that others who have used ibotenic acid injections in STS presented evidence suggesting that “the area affected by the injection might be somewhat larger than indicated by the area of cell death” (Dürsteler et al., 1987). These reservations notwithstanding, insofar as MST sustained significant morphological damage in all injected hemispheres, our findings are consistent with our previous suggestions that neurons in MST have a causal role in the genesis of all three kinds of reflex eye movements. However, we must also concede that the damage to MT might have contributed significantly to the observed deficits, not least because MT is a major source of inputs to MST (Maunsell and van Essen, 1983b; Ungerleider and Desimone, 1986). The deficits in the DVR and the OFR were highly correlated, consistent with a common distributed organization within the STS, although the former were generally less severe than the latter, perhaps suggesting that the DVR is more heavily reliant on other cortical areas. As already pointed out, the marginal amplitude of the RFVRs even before the injections means that noise might have obscured any clear relationship between the RFVR deficits and the size of the lesions or the deficits in the other two reflexes.

Secondary effects?
A major concern was the possibility that these deficits might be secondary to deficits in other aspects of the monkeys’ oculomotor performance that are known to be critical for the normal functioning of the three reflexes.

Post-saccadic enhancement
All test stimuli were applied in the immediate wake of a centering saccade to take advantage of post-saccadic enhancement (Kawano and Miles, 1986; Busettini et al., 1996, 1997), and there is evidence that MST contributes to this enhancement, at least in the case of the OFR (Takemura and Kawano, 2006). If the STS lesions reduced this enhancement, then this alone would be expected to reduce the gain of the responses. In addition, the post-saccadic enhancement of the OFR and DVR is known to be attributable in part to the visual reaﬀerence caused by the antecedent saccade sweeping the image of the background across the retina (Kawano and Miles, 1986; Busettini et al., 1996); therefore, any effects of the lesions on the speed and amplitude of the centering saccades might also have had an impact on the magnitude of this visual reaﬀerence and the associated enhancement. Previous studies reported that STS lesions had little impact on saccades to stationary targets (Newsome et al., 1985; Dürsteler and Wurtz, 1988). The centering saccades in the present study were, on average, slightly larger and faster in four of five monkeys after the lesions (Fig. 12B, C), and the study by Kawano and Miles (1986) on the OFR suggests that this would actually work to increase the component of the post-saccadic enhancement of the OFR because of visual reaﬀerence, albeit only slightly. No quantitative information is available regarding the dependence of the post-saccadic enhancement of the DVR and the RFVR (or the nonvisual component of the post-saccadic enhancement of the OFR) on the parameters of the saccade. However, we think it unlikely that these factors made more than a minor contribution to the observed impairments of the three tracking mechanisms, and they might even have had the converse eﬀect.

Retinal eccentricity
The slight changes in the amplitudes of the centering saccades raise another potential concern: How well were the stimulus patterns centered on the retina when the stimuli were applied? Given the large size of the stimulus patterns ($90 \times 90^\circ$), we think it very unlikely that the slight, inconsistent changes in the retinal eccentricity after the injections (ranging from a decrease of $1.2^\circ$ to an increase of $0.7^\circ$) would have had much impact on the OFRs or DVRs. In the case of the OFR, it is known that the major visual drive comes from the central $40^\circ$ of the visual field (Miles et al., 1986). A recent study on humans indicated that increasing the retinal eccentricity of the focus of expansion/contraction reduced the magnitude of the RFVR at most 5% per degree (Miles et al., 2004). If we assume that the situation is very similar in the monkey and also that the retinal eccentricity during the RFVR experiments was similar to that during the OFR experiments (Fig. 12D), then we can estimate the likely impact on the RFVRs. The estimated post-saccadic eccentricity of gaze was actually reduced after the lesions in monkeys Q, R, and S by 1.1, 0.3, and 1.2°, respectively (which would work to increase the RFVR at most by 5.5, 1.5, 6%, respectively), and increased in monkeys N and P by 0.1 and 0.7°, respectively (which would work to decrease the RFVR at most by 0.5 and 3.5%, respectively).

Post-saccadic drift
The effects of the lesions on the ocular drift during the time the stimuli were being applied were invariably minor (Fig. 12A). Only monkey Q showed a significant increase, and this was very small (mean increase, 0.6°/s). This might have made a very minor contribution to the unusually large deficits seen in this monkey.

Vergence errors and fixation disparity
The OFR is known to be sensitive to binocular disparity (Take-

[Figure 9. Deficits in RFVRs after ibotenic acid injections: sample temporal profiles (monkey P). Mean horizontal vergence velocity (in degrees per second) over time (in milliseconds) in response to multiple presentations of $A$ expansions (A) and contractions (B) before (pre; thick traces) and after (post; thin traces) the injections. Radial flow steps were applied at zero on the time scale. Upward deflections denote convergent eye movements. The time bars, 50 – 100 ms, indicate the period over which the response measures were made.]
Table 4. The vergence responses to expanding and contracting radial optic flow before and after the ibotenic acid injections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prelesion</th>
<th>Postlesion</th>
<th>Percentage of reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expand</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>Expand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkey Q</td>
<td>+14.8</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>+1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkey N</td>
<td>+13.9</td>
<td>-11.7</td>
<td>+6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkey P</td>
<td>+19.3</td>
<td>-19.3</td>
<td>+2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkey R</td>
<td>-26.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkey S</td>
<td>+41.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>+18.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The prelesion and postlesion entries are the change-in-vergence position measures (over the time period 50–100 ms from stimulus onset) in degrees ÷ 10^-1; a positive sign indicates convergence, and a negative sign indicates divergence. Blank entries indicate that the response failed to exceed twice the SD of the baseline noise. Reductions exceeding 100% indicate reversal of the RFVR. *p < 0.05; **p ≤ 0.001.

Figure 10. Deficits in the optokinetic responses after ibotenic acid injections: sample temporal profiles (monkey P). A, B. Data obtained before the injections. C, D. Data obtained after the injections. In each panel, the top traces show horizontal eye position (eye pos.; in degrees), and the bottom traces show horizontal eye velocity (in degrees per second) over time (in milliseconds) in response to rightward (A, C) and leftward (B, D) motion of a random-dot pattern at 40°/s. Upward deflections denote eye movements in the direction of stimulus motion. Thick lines indicate the duration of the illumination of the already-moving random-dot pattern.

Figure 11. Deficits in smooth-pursuit eye movements after ibotenic acid injections: sample temporal profiles (monkey P) and response measures (monkeys P and S). A. Mean eye velocity (in degrees per second) over time (in milliseconds) in response to multiple presentations of 20°/s leftward and rightward ramps before (pre; thick traces) and after (post; thin traces) the injections. Upward deflections denote rightward eye movements, and gaps are attributable to deletions of saccadic intrusions. B. Percentage of reductions in the pursuit responses, based on the mean changes in eye position over (1) the time period 100–150 ms (continuous lines: presaccadic, open-loop responses) and (2) the time period 300–350 ms (dashed lines: postsaccadic, closed-loop responses), both measured from the onset of the stimulus ramps. Red, leftward ramps; blue, rightward ramps; absissa, stimulus speed (in degrees per second). vel., Velocity; L, left; R, right; preSac, presaccadic; posSac, postsaccadic.

The vergence angle is known to be directly dependent on the vergence angle, independent of binocular disparity (Bussettini et al., 1991; Inoue et al., 1998a). Based on the measured vergence angle for every trial, we estimated that the effect of the lesions on fixation disparity is known to be directly dependent on the vergence angle, independent of binocular disparity (Busettini et al., 1991; Inoue et al., 1998a). Based on the measured vergence angle for every trial, we estimated that the effect of the lesions on fixation disparity [the dependence of the OFR on disparity was known for monkeys Q, P, and N because they had been subjects in the study by Takemura et al. (2000); for monkeys R and S, we used the data from the other three monkeys to estimate a mean curve describing dependence of the OFR on disparity] and vergence angle [we assumed a mean sensitivity of 10% per degree (Inoue et al., 1998a)] per se would have operated to decrease the OFR of monkeys Q and P, on average, by 18 and 15%, respectively, and increase the OFR of monkeys N, R, and S, on average, by 1, 16, and 3%, respectively. A recent study on humans reported that the amplitude of the initial RFVR was linearly dependent on the vergence angle with an average sensitivity of 15%/m^-1 for expanding flow and 22%/m^-1 for contracting flow (Yang et al., 1999). If we assume that the monkey’s RFVR has a similar dependence, then based on the measured vergence angle for every trial, we estimated that the effect of the lesions on vergence angle would have operated to decrease the RFVR of monkeys Q and S, on average, by 2.0 and 1.3%, respectively, and increase the RFVR of monkeys P and R, on average, by 1.8 and 2.8%, respectively. It is not known whether the RFVR is sensitive to binocular disparity, although it is known to be driven very successfully by monocular stimuli (Bussettini et al., 1997). The slight effects of the STS lesions on the fixation disparity would be expected to result in an offset in the disparity steps used to elicit the DVR and hence might be expected to cause slight horizontal shifts without influencing the general form and amplitude of the disparity tuning curves like those in Fig. 7.

We conclude that most of the deficits in the OFR, DVR, and RFVR after ibotenic acid injections in the STS were primary and not secondary to changes in other oculomotor parameters such as postsaccadic enhancement, oculardrift, vergence errors, or fixation disparity.

Population coding and multiplexing

In a recent study, we showed that the summed activity of the disparity-sensitive cells in MST effectively encodes the initial DVR although there is no hint of this vergence information at the level of the individual cells, indicating that the representation of the DVR in MST is an “emergent property” of the overall activity: population coding (Takemura et al., 2001, 2002b). Quantitative analyses indicated that the projection from MST to the next stage in the processing of the vergence drive signal must include contributions from the full spectrum of disparity-selective cells and raised
the possibility that it involves merely a random selection of those cells. That the DVR (and OFR) deficits in the present study involved a simple attenuation directly related to the size of the lesion is consistent with reliance on a randomly distributed population of disparity-selective (and motion-selective) cells. Unfortunately, no population data are yet available for the neurons in MST that are known to discharge in relation to the OFR (Kawano et al., 1994; Takemura et al., 2000) and the RFVR (our unpublished observations).
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